

IN RE APPLE iPHONE ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

DONALD R. CAMERON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff and Counter-

defendant,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant and

Counterclaimant.

Case No. 11-cv-06714-YGR (TSH)

ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL

Re: Dkt. No. 308

Case No. 19-cv-03074-YGR (TSH)

Re: Dkt. No. 187

Case No. 20-cv-05640-YGR (TSH)

Re: Dkt. No. 187

Plaintiffs' December 15, 2020 motion to seal (11-6714 ECF No. 308) is granted in part and denied in part. Apple's proposed redactions to the joint discovery letter brief, Exhibit A, Exhibit C, and Exhibit 4 (11-6714 ECF No. 332) are acceptable. Because Apple has publicly filed the redacted versions of those documents (11-6714 ECF Nos. 332-2 to 332-5), no further action by the

1 parties is necessary as to those documents. However, because Apple has not filed a declaration
2 establishing that Exhibits 1-3, 5-12, B or D are sealable, the Court denies the motion to seal as to
3 those exhibits. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(2), Plaintiffs may file those documents in the
4 public record no earlier than 4 days, and no later than 10 days, after the date of this order. This
5 order applies in identical fashion in 19-3074 to the motion to seal at ECF No. 187, as well as the
6 motion to seal in 20-5640 at ECF No. 187.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: December 22, 2020

10 
11 THOMAS S. HIXSON
United States Magistrate Judge

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California